

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Sonoma Foods, Inc., a California corporation

CASE NO. 3:07-CV-00554-JSW

Plaintiff(s),

v.

SONOMA CHEESE FACTORY, LLC, a  
California limited liability company, et al

Defendant(s).

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process: **PRIVATE MEDIATION**

**Court Processes:**

- Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
- Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

*(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)*

**Private Process:**

- Private ADR (please identify process and provider) Mediation; JAMS

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

the presumptive deadline (*The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.*)

- other requested deadline August 17, 2007

Dated: May 17, 2007



Attorney for Plaintiff and Counterdefendants

Dated: May 17, 2007

/s/ Michael J. Ioannou

Attorney for Defendant and on behalf of  
ALL Co-Counsel for Defendant and  
Counterclaimants

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

~~Non-binding Arbitration~~  
~~Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)~~  
~~Mediation~~  
Private ADR : Mediation; JAMS

Deadline for ADR session

~~90 days from the date of this order.~~  
other August 17, 2007

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 22, 2007

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE